

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,735	WEBB ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAY R. RIPLEY	3679	

All Participants:

(1) JAY R. RIPLEY.

(2) Mr. Brian Tumm.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

10 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:45 P.M.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed language amendment of claim 14, i.e. insert the currently lined out recitation of "each said reinforcing member...and" back into the claim, to provide proper antecedent basis for the recitation of "the flanges of each said reinforcing member" in lines 5-6 of claim 14. Applicant noted the recitation in claim 10, lines 28-32, of "the reinforcing members each ... coupling". The Examiner indicated that the noted claim 10 recitation placed the limitation of the reinforcing members having only a single flange each, while claim 14, with the lined out recitation inserted back into the claim, further defined the reinforcing member to have two flanges each and that two reinforcing member flanges are required to have "the nuts are of a size that fits between the flanges of each said reinforcing member", as recited in claim 14, lines 5-6. Applicant agreed to have the Examiner insert back into claim 14 the recitation of "the currently lined out recitation of "each said reinforcing member...and" by Examiners Amendment.